UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,259	12/30/2005	Horst Wisniewski	H0075.70107US00	5101
23628 7590 03/11/2009 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, PHONG H	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/542,259	WISNIEWSKI, HORST	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PHONG H. NGUYEN	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 ⊆</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22 and 24-26 is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23 and 27-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2005 is/	re withdrawn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	red to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/542,259 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 23 and 27-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fernandez (5,865,358) in view of Gold (3,086,365).

Regarding claim 23, Fernandez teaches a workpiece cracking device comprising a base 54, a first pair of jaws (left member 42) immovably mounted on the base, a second pair of jaws (right member 42) movably mounted to the base and a drive (80 & 82) for moving the second pair of jaws up and down with respect to a plane (left surface of element 76 defines the claimed plane.) of the workpiece. See Fig. 5.

Fernandez does not teach a control unit with the frequency and the reciprocating force of the second pair of jaws being adjustable.

Gold teaches an actuation rod 15 similar to the actuation rod 86 in Fernandez wherein the frequency and the reciprocating force of the rod are adjustable by a control unit. See Fig. 1.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the actuation rod of Gold into the cracking device of

Application/Control Number: 10/542,259 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Fernandez so that a user can control the frequency and the cracking force of the actuation rod.

Regarding claims 27 and 28, the free ends of the pairs of jaws are best seen in Fig. 5 in Fernandez.

Regarding claim 29, since the spaced apart ends of the pairs of jaws in Fig. 2 are considered "cutting edges", the spaced apart ends of the pairs of jaws in Fernandez are considered "cutting edges".

Regarding claims 30 and 31, the cracking device of Fernandez is capable of cracking a disk shaped workpiece. When the right jaw pair 42 pivots in the B-direction, the end of the jaw pair would form an angle of about 5 degrees with respect to the radius of the workpiece.

Regarding claim 32, Gold teaches the hydraulic system comprising a pump 4, a valve 6 and an actuator cylinder 15.

Regarding claims 33 and 34, the valve 5 is controllable.

Regarding claim 35, as Gold's hydraulic system is incorporated into the Fernandez's device, the cylinder's 15 in Gold replaces the cylinder 86 in Fernandez.

Regarding claim 36, the cylinder 86 being connected to the base is best seen in Fig. 5 in Fernandez.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 01/06/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,259 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

The Applicant argues that Fernandez does not teach a drive for moving the second pair of jaws periodically up and down with respect to a plane of a workpiece. This argument is not persuasive. Left surface of element 76 defines the claimed plane and the second pair of jaws moves up and down with respect to that plane.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,259

Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

/P. H. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 March 6, 2009